Mil. Sept 2 nd 1887. To the Director of the Ment framington S. C. Gourd the 1st Inst is just recid, but you certainly are in enor for they admit the mistake here at the mint Serhops you as not an as that the Williams Bid is rejected he did not Bid according to scholule Now then it lays Between Benton and myself-Bids ness Benton Pohl on n:1- 1a Bds = \$46- 3950 "2" " 38- 25= "3" " 14- 12= also 5 M fr 1014 Ming. pine fly on Nº 1- Benton was \$26 - Pohl \$25 On Bassmood Benter gives ton prices only one is required by the achedule and my price \$25 87 is even lover than his asserage of the two- I cant see but that I am line than him - and it is

RG104 F-1 Box 147

a smagte myself the Generament be pay Donton higher price, that I offer - Amidly examine the Matter, tementering the Williams Bid is baned and then I hape a moss farable lephy - it is admitted here at the mint & they only hand to know how to obsect it Allmba g. ah Sickinson Compass the Dentar prior.
I mine I there is no
trouble in the maker My the

No. 41484

REC. SEP 3 1887

Phieadelphia, Pa Sept 2, 1887 Elias Orhl

Rel to award for Lumber at les mint Obleade phia

Arint Brueau
Sept 20188)
Resputfilly refund to
Sorth months Phila
delphin

R& Broken action

[Abstract:] Rel. to award for Lumber at U.S. Mint Philadelphia.

Phil.

September 2, 1887

To the Director of the Mint Washington, D.C.

Yours of the 1st Inst. is just rec'd., but you certainly are in error for they <u>admit the mistake here at the Mint.</u>

Perhaps you are not aware that the <u>Williams Bid</u> is <u>rejected</u> he did not <u>Bid according to schedule</u>. Now then it lays between <u>Benton</u> and myself.

Bids were	Benton	Pohl
10 MP		
On No. $1 - 1$ " Bds. =	\$46-	39.50
"2 " "	38-	25=
"3 " "	14-	12=

Also 5 M for 1 & 1 ¼ Ning. Pine flg.

On No. 1 – Benton was \$26- Pohl \$25 on Basswood Benton gives two prices only one is required by the schedule and my price \$25.87 is even lower than his average of the two. I can't see but that I am lower than him- and it is a wrong to myself & the government to pay Benton higher prices than I offer –

Kindly examine the matter, remembering the Williams Bid is banned and then I hope a more favorable reply it is admitted here at the Mint & they only want to know how to correct it.

Truly Yours, E. Pohl

Lumber 9th at. Dickinson

Compare the Benton prices & mine & there is no trouble in the matter.

Yrs. Pohl

[Noted on back:]

Mint Bureau September 20, 1887

Respectfully referred to the Supt. Mint U.S. Philadelphia. R.E. Preston, Acting Director.